

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/502,299             | BAKER ET AL.        |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Patricia L. Hailey     | 1755                |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Patricia L. Hailey. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Leonard Mitchard. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 March 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

39-75

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*In response to a restriction requirement made by the Examiner, Applicants' Counsel requested that the restriction be mailed.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)